. AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

		United States	DIST	STRICT COURT
		Distr	ict of	Delaware
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
		V.		RDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		Thomas L. Davis	Case	CR07-42-JJF
r	n acc	Defendant accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a deter		Carried II. Hill Mark
		on of the defendant pending trial in this case.	ition nearn	mig has been field. I conclude that the following facts require the
		Part I—Fi	-	
	(1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S. or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	nment or d	e giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is death.
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of	ten years o	s or more is prescribed in
	(3)	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.  (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the for the offense described in finding (1).	defendant v date of co	conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
		Alternative	0	g ( )
	(1)	<ol> <li>There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has comm for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years o under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).</li> </ol>		
	(2)			that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure unity.
	Alternative Findings (B)			
		1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
	(2)	(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe	ety of anoth	ther person or the community.
			_	

## Part II-Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence X a preponderance of the evidence: that there are no conditions that will reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required and the safety of the community. Detention is based on the following reasons:

- 1. defendant did not contest detention at this time, but reserved the right to present evidence in the future which was granted.
- 2. Defendant clearly suffers from paranoid schizophrenia, is unemployed on disability for this condition.
- 3. Defendant has a long and troubling criminal history beginning in 1985 continuing January 2006. Most of his convictions are for assaultive type behavior including assault 2d, assault in a detention facility, assault 3<sup>rd</sup> and burglary 3<sup>rd</sup>, theft, drinking offenses. Since 1995, defendant has averaged at least two convictions a year for similar type offenses



Part III-Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

April 2, 2007

Date

Signature of Judicial Officer

Mary Pat Thynge, Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).